

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/987,438	11/14/2001	John Wirth JR.	3584-6	3584-6 6765	
23117	7590 02/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			JANVIER, JEAN D		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		JK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/987,438	WIRTH, JOHN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jean Janvier	3622	

Advisory Action	09/987,438	WIRTH, JOHN	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean Janvier	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	·	• •	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
•		Jean Janvier Examiner Art Unit: 3622	

Continuation of 13. Other: Although the Applicant may in general disagree with the rationale (based on the skills (knowledge) of an ordinary artisan) given by the Examiner, in the last Office to combine the references, however, that does not mean that the Examiner does not show the reasons (or rationale) why an ordinary skilled artisan, facing the same problems as the inventor and without being exposed to the claimed invention or without reading the Instant Application, would have combined the prior art references to realize the claimed invention. Furthermore, the deficiency in Shane, i.e. accessing by a user or customer or mail recipient a first device or web site associated with a mailer to place an order, compensated here by Bezos, is well-known in the art. Hence, the Applicant's arguments are not plausible (See the last Office Action).

JEAN D. JANVIER PRIMARY/EXAMINER